

Reconfiguration of a Computer-based Printing System

The present invention relates to a system and a method that facilitates the reconfiguration of one of more
5 printers connected to a computer-based printing system so that the printer(s) match the requirements of a print job generated by the printing system.

Modern laser printers have a large number of different
10 properties and configuration settings. The properties are in general non-configurable attributes of the printer, such as: engine speed; presence or absence of a duplexing unit; existence of a PostScript interpreter. Configuration settings, in contrast, can be changed, for example:
15 duplexing enabled or disabled; input tray two configured for A4 media or A3 media; input tray three containing face-up note-paper headed with "European Patent Office".

In some environments a specific attribute may be viewed as
20 configurable whereas in others it is viewed as non-configurable. For example, it is possible to physically remove a duplexing unit from an HP LaserJet™ 5Si, but; it is not normal to require a printer operator to do so. Although some configuration settings may be changed
25 automatically, for example by a command sent to a networked printer from a computer on the network, many configuration settings can only be changed manually.

The status of properties and configuration settings of a
30 printer may be accessible through a database (known as a management information base, or "MIB") that may be stored in the printer itself or elsewhere in the printing system.

A combination of property values and configuration settings, available at a printer, is known as a printer profile.

5 Print jobs, intended for such a printer, have a related set of print job selections. The set of selections are the requirements of the print job for optimal printing. This set of requirements may be described explicitly as a job ticket, as described in patent document US 5467434, or
10 implicitly by the print data, such as by the use of the duplexing escape sequence in a PCL stream.

A printer profile satisfies a print job requirement set, and the printer is adequate for the job, if all the
15 selections specified in the job ticket are also specified, or implicit, in the printer profile.

Patent document US 5129639 describes how given a print job with a job ticket and a printer profile, not adequate for
20 the job, it is possible to modify the job ticket to have a different set of requirements that are satisfied by the printer profile.

In some cases, however, it may not be possible or
25 desirable to modify the job ticket.

In patent documents JP 95219270, US 5467434 and US 5625757 it is described how, given a print job with a job ticket and the properties and configuration settings of a
30 plurality of printers, it is possible to select a printer adequate for the print job.

However, it may be that none of the printers are adequate for the job.

According to the invention, there is provided a method of
5 printing a print job in a computer-based printer system,
the system comprising at least one printer and at least
one computer connected to said printer(s), wherein the or
each printer has a plurality of different printing
configurations at least one of which is manually
10 configurable and the or each computer is capable of
generating at least one print job, said print job(s)
having corresponding printing requirements, each printing
configuration being capable of satisfying one or more
printing requirements, the method comprising the steps of
15 using the printing system to:

- i) create one or more print jobs;
- ii) determine whether or not the or each print job can be
20 printed using said printer(s) by comparing the printing
requirements of the or each print job and the current
printing configurations of the printer(s);
- iii) when one or more of the print jobs cannot be printed
25 using said printer(s) on the basis of said current
printing configuration, determining at least one
reconfiguration of the printer configuration(s) that would
be capable of satisfying the printing requirement(s) of
said print job(s); and
30
- iv) performing such a reconfiguration of the printer
configuration or providing information to enable such a

reconfiguration to be carried out by another.

Preferably, wherein step iv) comprises determining when
said reconfiguration would require manual reconfiguration
5 of said printer(s) by a user of the printing system, and
if so using the printing system to generate and present to
said user instructions for manually reconfiguring said
printer(s) prior to printing of the print job(s) by the
printing system.

10

Also according to the invention, there is provided a
computer-based printing system, the printing system
comprising at least one printer and at least one computer
connected to said printer(s), the or each printer having a
15 plurality of different printing configurations at least
one of which is manually configurable and the or each
computer being capable of generating at least one print
job, said print job(s) having corresponding printing
requirements, each printing configuration being capable of
20 satisfying one or more printing requirements, wherein the
printing system is arranged to: determine whether or not
the or each print job can be printed using said printer(s)
by comparing the printing requirements of the or each
print job and the current printing configurations of the
25 printer(s); and when one or more of the print jobs cannot
be printed using said printer(s) on the basis of said
current printing configuration, to determine at least one
reconfiguration of the printer configuration(s) that would
be capable of satisfying the printing requirement(s) of
30 said print job(s); and when said reconfiguration would
require manual reconfiguration of said printer(s) by a
user of the printing system, then use the printing system

to generate and present to said user instructions for manually reconfiguring said printer(s) prior to printing of the print job(s) by the printing system.

- 5 The user can then use the instructions to reconfigure the printer or printers, prior to printing of the print job.

It may be, however, that there is more than one possible reconfiguration that will allow the print job to be
10 completed. In this case, a preferred embodiment of the invention involves calculating an economic cost for effecting each of a plurality of possible reconfigurations for which the printer configuration(s) would be capable of satisfying the printing requirement(s) of the print
15 job(s). The calculation can be done by any computer connected to the printer, or internally in one or more of the printers.

The method then involves selecting according to the
20 calculated economic costs one or more preferred reconfigurations of the printer(s) for which reconfiguration instructions will be presented to the user.

25 In general, there may be a plurality of different preferred reconfigurations which would involve both manual configuration by the user and automatic configuration by the printing system.

30 The cost of an automatic reconfiguration will normally be small compared with the economic cost of a manual reconfiguration, in particular because of the time and

labour needed to effect a manual reconfiguration. It is therefore important that the calculated economic cost takes into account such manual reconfiguration.

5 When there is a plurality of preferred reconfigurations, the reconfiguration information presented to the user may include the corresponding economic cost for each preferred configuration. The user can then make a choice of a particular preferred reconfiguration according to other
10 circumstances. For example, if the print job is needed urgently, then the user may chose the reconfiguration that can be effected most rapidly, rather than the lowest cost reconfiguration. In any event, it is very helpful if the user of the system is guided by the calculated costs
15 associated with each possible preferred reconfiguration in a decision to select a particular reconfiguration.

When there are a plurality of different preferred reconfigurations, these preferred configurations may be
20 presented to user of the printing system prior to the generation and presentation of the user instructions for manually reconfiguring the printer(s). The user may then select a particular reconfiguration, for which reconfiguration instructions are then presented as
25 described above.

One way of presenting the reconfiguration instructions to the user of the printing system is to display these instructions on a user display connected to a computer.

30

Another way is to print the user instructions on a printer, and preferably on the printer to be reconfigured.

In this case it may be helpful, particularly if the printer is remote from a computer used to initiate the print job, if the presentation of instructions includes a message displayed to the user on a computer display
5 informing the user that reconfiguration instructions are to be printed on the printer.

After reconfiguration of the printer(s), the print job may be assigned to more than one printer. The printing system
10 may then present to a user of the printing system instructions for any or all of locating, assembling, collating, binding, or otherwise combining material printed from the printers.

15 The print job may be split into different parts for a number of reasons, for example because these different parts have different requirements. In some circumstances, this can simplify any reconfiguration of the printer(s), albeit at the expense of having to recombine or reassemble
20 the different parts of the print job when the print job has been completed.

In a further aspect, the invention provides a computer system programmed for providing print job information to
25 printers connected to the computer system by a computer network, wherein one or more processors of the computer system are programmed to: create a print job; determine whether or not the print job can be printed using one or more printers in communication with the computer system by
30 comparing the printing requirements of the print job and the current printing configurations of the one or more printers; when the print job cannot be printed using the

one or more printers in their current printing configuration, determine at least one reconfiguration of the one or more printers that would be capable of satisfying the printing requirements of said print job;
5 and perform such a reconfiguration of the one or more printers or providing information to enable such a reconfiguration to be carried out by another.

In a still further aspect, the invention provides a data
10 carrier having thereon a computer program containing code adapted to program one or more processors of a computer system to: obtain current printing configurations of one or more printers in communication with the computer system; determine whether or not a print job can be
15 printed using such one or more printers by comparing the printing requirements of the print job and the current printing configurations of the one or more printers; when the print job cannot be printed using the one or more printers in their current printing configuration,
20 determine at least one reconfiguration of the one or more printers that would be capable of satisfying the printing requirements of the print job; and perform such a reconfiguration of the one or more printers or providing information to enable such a reconfiguration to be carried
25 out by another.

The invention will now be described by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

30

Figure 1 is a view of a printing system according to the invention, showing several printers connected to

computers via a computer network, each computer having a user display;

5 Figure 2 is a schematic representation of the need to match a set of printer Attributes derived from a Printer Profile relating to one or more printers, with a Set of print job Features derived from a Job Ticket and/or Print Data to be sent to the printer(s);

10 Figures 3 and 4 are, respectively, examples of a typical ASCII Job Ticket, and a Caxton Job Ticket, that may be used to derive the Set of Features;

15 Figure 5 shows how a computer can communicate with the printers over the network to maintain a Printer Profile in the computer that corresponds with the Management Information Base in each printer;

20 Figure 6 shows how the computer may decompose the Printer Profile into constituent a Non-configurable Properties Profile and a Configurable Component Profile;

25 Figure 7 shows schematically that the Printer Profile is combined with the Set of Features for the print job to give a plurality of a *priori* plausible component reconfigurations, each with an associated Reconfiguration Cost;

30 Figure 8 shows schematically how a set of Lowest Cost component reconfigurations of corresponding Component Profiles is used to present to a user of the printing

system a plurality of Reconfiguration Instructions;
and

5 Figure 9 shows how a plurality of Low Cost
reconfiguration options can be presented to a user of
the printing system, prior to the user selecting one
of the options to obtain the Configuration
Instructions.

10 Figure 1 shows schematically a printing system 1 having
several personal computers 2,3,4 each of which is
connected 6 to a local area network 8. Also connected 9 to
the network 8 are several printers 10,11,12, each of which
has one or more trays 21-26 that can be loaded with
15 various types and sizes of paper, transparencies,
envelopes, or other types of feedstock (not shown).

Each computer 2,3,4 has a system unit 14 to which is
connected a user display 15, and input devices such as a
20 keyboard 16 and a mouse 17.

Software running in each system unit 14 enables a user to
send print jobs via the network 8 to one or more of the
printers 10,11,12. The software includes printer drivers
25 and a management information base (MIB) that describes
each of the printers' 10,11,12 print capabilities. The
print capabilities include both configurable and
non-configurable features of the printer. An example of a
configurable feature of a printer would be a paper tray
30 which can be loaded with either A4 size or A3 size paper.
An example of a non-configurable feature would be black
and white laser printing capability or ink-jet colour

printing capability.

The requirements of some print jobs may be satisfied by the current printing configurations of one or more of the printers 2,3,4. This invention is concerned with the case when this is not so, and at least one of the printers 2,3,4 needs at least some manual reconfiguration in order to satisfy the printing requirements of a print job. Optionally, some of the needed reconfiguration may be automatic.

The printers 2,3,4 may be configured by configuring each of a number of components. The most important components to configure are the input trays 21-26. When trays are empty, low on paper, or contain the wrong type of paper, then it may not be obvious to the operator which tray should be reconfigured with additional or different paper.

Figure 2 describes the terminology used in this description of the invention. Each printer 10,11,12 will have its own Printer Configuration 30. The configuration can be determined in different ways, but a convenient method is to use a Management Information Base (MIB) 31, which is normally held within each printer 10,11,12. Alternatively, the MIB 31 may be held within one or more of the computers 14. The MIB 31 describes a Printer Profile 32, which can be decomposed into two parts: a Non-configurable Properties Profile 33 that describes Property Values 34 of the printer 10,11,12 that are effectively fixed and un-configurable; and a Configurable Component Profile 35, that describes Configuration Settings 36 of the printer 10,11,12 that can be

re-configured, either automatically or manually.

The combination of the Property Values 34 and Configuration Settings 36 is a Set of Attributes 37 for
5 the printer 10,11,12.

The Property Values 34 can be represented by a set with N elements denoted respectively as:

10 propertyX:pnameX;pvalueX X=1,2,3 . . . N

where for each non-configurable Property Profile 33, pname is a tag describing the property, and pvalue is a numerical value that is optional, and therefore shown in
15 *italic*.

Similarly, The Configuration Settings 36 can be represented by a set with M elements denoted respectively as:

20 configurationY:pnameY;cvalueY Y=1,2,3 . . . M

where for each Configurable Component Profile 35, cname is a tag describing the configuration, and cvalue is a numerical value that is optional, and therefore shown in
25 *italic*.

Some features (such as sheets of paper to print on, or the ability to hold paper, or the ability to print on paper of
30 a given size) are fundamentally cumulative. For these, a set showing either presence or absence is an over-simplification. A better approach is to represent such

features by an explicit positive integer quantity for each member.

5 An example of a Configuration Setting 36 requiring a numerical value would be paper held in an input tray 21-26, where cvalue could be the number of sheets of paper left in the tray.

10 A Print Job 40 can be described in a similar manner. A computer system generating a Print Job 40 will in general generate as part of this a Job Ticket and/or Print Data 41 that can be used to derive Print Job Requirements 42 for that Print Job 40. A Job Ticket 41 may have the structure shown in Figure 3, which shows data for a XeroxTM printer, 15 as described in patent document US 5467434. A Caxton Job Ticket, as shown in Figure 4, looks rather different but amounts to much the same information.

20 A Job Ticket is an explicit representation of the job's requirements. With a Job Ticket the Print Job Requirements are deduced from this explicit representation.

25 In the case of Print Data 41, the Print Job 40 is analysed directly, although this is computationally more expensive than analysis of a Job Ticket. The Print Job 40 in this example consists of a set of instructions to the printer in a language known as a Page Description Language (PDL). This PDL can be parsed to separate it into its atomic instructions. Each of these instructions will implicitly 30 make some requirements upon the printer 10,11,12. For example, an instruction to change the marking colour to "blue" followed by an instruction to print a character

together, implies that the printer must have the ability to print "blue". This needs to be transformed either into a requirement for colour printing capability, or into a requirement for spot-colour capability.

5

One of the computers 2,3,4 may conveniently be used to derive from the Job Ticket and/or Print Data 41 the Print Job Requirements 42 in the form of a Set of Features 47 for that Print Job, which must be satisfied by the Set of
10 Attributes 37 if the Print Job 41 is to print correctly on one or more of the printers 10,11,12.

In a similar manner to that described above for the Set of Attributes 37, the Set of Features 47 can be represented
15 by a set with P elements denoted respectively as:

$$\text{featureZ:fnameZ;fvalueZ} \quad Z=1,2,3 \dots P$$

where for each feature of the Print Job Requirements 42,
20 fname is a tag describing the feature, and fvalue is a numerical value that is optional, and therefore shown in *italic*.

Figure 5 shows how a computer 2 can communicate with the
25 printers 10,11 over the network 8, for example by SNMP messaging, to maintain a Printer Profile 32 in the computer that corresponds with the Management Information Base 41 in each printer.

30 Figure 6 shows the decomposition 50 by the computer 10 of the Printer Profile 32 into the Non-configurable Properties Profile 33 and the Configurable Component

Profile 35. In general, there may be a plurality of Configuration Component Profiles 35, one for each printer 10,11,12 on the network 8.

5 Consider now one example of how the Configurable Component Profiles 35 may be used with the Set of Features 47 for a Print Job 40. In general, each tray 21-26 can be configured independently. A printing need, like 'green paper' can be satisfied by configuring any one of a number
10 of input trays 21-26. The combination of these two observations results in a classic NP-complete problem: SAT, the satisfiability problem of propositional calculus. Thus, the printer reconfiguration could be solved using well-known artificial intelligence techniques.
15 Fortunately, for the vast majority of printer systems, the search space will be sufficiently small that a brute force search will be the best solution.

Referring now to Figure 7, the raw data for determining
20 how to reconfigure a printer comes from two sources: the printer's Management Information Base 31; and the print job's Job Ticket and/or Print Data 41. A MIB 31 structured according to the standard RFC1759 has many tables concerning components of the printer. The Job Ticket
25 and/or Print Data 41 can have various formats, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4. Therefore, although the raw data will in general have rather different formats, such data can always be processed to find a correspondence between the Set of Attributes 35 and Set of Features 47.

30

Because the Printer Profile 32 and Print Job Requirements 42 have been described in terms of sets, a correspondence

between elements of each set is either present or absent.
If the Set of Features 47 for the Print Job 40 is a
sub-set of the Set of Attributes 37 for the Printer
Configuration 30, then the Print Job 40 can be printed on
5 a printer 10,11,12.

More generally, for the sake of efficiency, it may not
always be necessary to represent each feature or attribute
in these sets.

10

Consider a specific attribute name-value pair. If such a
pair is present at all times in all printers 10,11,12 in
the printing system 1, then it can be ignored. Other pairs
that can influence the printability of a Print Job 40 are
15 significant and must be included.

Also for efficiency, the printers 10,11,12 should each be
decomposed into their minimal, independently configurable,
components. No feature should require two separate
20 components to be configured correctly in order to be
present.

Features should not make explicit reference to which
component provided them (e.g. Tray 2 provides 'Green A4
25 paper' not 'Green A4 paper in Tray 2').

The Print Jobs themselves should be constructed in such a
way that the Job ticket and/or Print Data 41 does not make
explicit reference to printer components, which may
30 require a more abstract way of encoding the Print Job
Requirements 42.

It may also be helpful if Attributes 37 and Configuration Settings 36 (or Print Features 47) appear in the MIB 31 (or Job Ticket/Print Data 41) as name-value pairs. These may then be mapped by the computer 10,11,12 in the internal form as a single compound feature. For example, a media path may provide a name-value pair: "duplex" is one of "none", "short-side" or "long-side". Internally this should be mapped to three features: "simplex", "duplex-short-side", or "duplex-long-side".

10

For a single media path it makes sense to say that if it is a simplex path then it is not a duplex-short-side path. The same is not true of a printer. The MIB 31 for a typical duplex printer declares that the printer has three media-path components: one simplex; one duplex-short-side; and one duplex-long-side. A Print Job 40 requiring any or all of these paths may have to be printed.

The next step, is to iterate through the configurable components and to analyse them to find a set of *a priori* plausible reconfigurations. A plausible reconfiguration is one that is, in some way related to the requirements of the job. For example, if the job needs some green paper, but no red paper, loading green paper into a paper tray is plausible, loading red paper into a tray is not.

A method of performing a reconfiguration analysis 52 for a particular component is to:

- 30 i) Iterate through the possible features that this component can provide, thereby constructing a collection of plausible reconfigurations.

- ii) Then to iterate through the plausible reconfigurations evaluating
 - the cost of that reconfiguration
 - 5 - and the Set of Features 47 provided by such a reconfiguration.

This then results in a set of possible component reconfigurations 54, with each element 56 in the set being
10 a plausible reconfiguration with an associated reconfiguration cost.

One way to perform this analysis 52 is in step i) to initialise a computer program by setting the collection of
15 plausible configurations to be a collection with one element, that being the current configuration. The iterative step ii) would then proceed as follows:

- for each such feature
 - 20 - if it is not a member of the Set of Features 47, skip further consideration of this feature
 - add to the collection of plausible configurations all configurations of this component that provide this feature.

25

The set of all features that this component can provide, and the mapping from such a feature to the configurations that provide it can be provided by a simple technique such as a look-up table.

30

It is to be noted that this step relies on an adequately fine-grained partitioning of the system into components so

that each component has only a small number of different reconfigurations that can provide any different feature. If this is not true then it may be necessary either to:

- 5 a) Redesign the Set of Features 47, or the decomposition of the printer into its components 33,35.

or

- b) Modify this step ii) to be more restrictive in its notion of *plausible*.

10

The step ii) above evaluates the plausible configurations, both for their costs, and for the features provided. The Set of Features construction is done in a similar manner to that for the non-configurable profile transformation.

- 15 The cost is evaluated by estimating the financial cost of making such a reconfiguration. One way in which this estimation can be done is first to take the current configuration as having zero cost. It does not cost anything to leave things how they already are. A fully
20 automatic reconfiguration will in general have a negligible cost. Operator conducted operations have a cost in proportion to the operator time required.

- In the case of configurations for which the act of
25 reconfiguring the system uses up some resource other than operator time, with non-negligible cost, these costs should be taken into account.

- The costs of each configuration step are estimates. In
30 most cases, the method of choosing a reconfiguration is relatively robust against these estimates being incorrect.

Therefore, it is generally not effective to spend a great effort in making these estimates very precise.

For components with only a small range of
5 reconfigurations, these cost estimates can be listed in
lookup tables.

As an example, each reconfiguration of an input tray 21-26
has four distinct operator actions, all of which may not
10 be needed. These are:

1. Unload the current paper, if any.
2. Change the paper guides for the new paper size, if it
15 differs from the current size.
3. Load the new paper if different from the current
paper.
- 20 4. Reload new paper each time it gets exhausted during
printing of the print job.

These costs are estimated by having fixed costs for each
item, and summing those costs that apply. The cost of
25 reloading needs to be taken into account for each reload
needed.

Consider the case where a job requires 450 green sheets of
A4 paper, and a printer has a 200 sheet capacity tray
30 currently loaded with 70 sheets of A4 green paper.
Plausible reconfigurations of this tray are ones
involving: 0 or 1 paper loads, and 0, 1 or 2 paper

reloads. These six reconfigurations will have different costs and provide different quantities of green paper, ranging from 70 to 600 sheets. In contrast, a 2000 sheet capacity tray, currently loaded with A3 paper, has one
5 plausible reconfiguration involving an Unload, a Size Change and a Load.

Once the iterations described above have been performed, a search can be performed of the various reconfigurations
10 and costs. It will generally be the case with printing systems that the search space is small enough that a brute force search can be performed in a reasonable amount of time.

15 Once the search has been performed, the results can be presented to a user of the system on one of the computer displays 15. Figures 8 and 9 show the types of information that can be presented to a user. In Figure 8, one or more lowest cost reconfigurations 58 of one or more components
20 is presented to a user on the display 15 in the form of reconfiguration instructions 59. The user can then select a recommended reconfiguration, which may be the lowest cost reconfiguration.

25 Optionally, the user can select a reconfiguration that is not the very lowest cost, but one which has a low cost. This may be desirable if the user is more comfortable performing one type of manual reconfiguration over another type of manual reconfiguration.

30

In Figure 9 the user is presented with additional information on a display 15 describing one or more print

options in which the print job is assigned across one or more of the printers. The information includes a cost breakdown 60. The user can then select amongst the presented print options. Usually, the user will select the
5 lowest cost assignment, but again may optionally select a print assignment that is not the very lowest cost, but which has a low cost.

The invention therefore provides a system and a method
10 that facilitates the reconfiguration of one or more printers connected to a computer system so that the printers match the requirements of a print job generated by the computer system in a cost effective way.

15